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Context
Coastal nutrient concentrations are key parameters for the management of eutrophication, since they can be directly linked to nutrient inputs, which can be addressed by abatement measures.

In relation with the EU Water Framework Directive (WFD) implementation, Ifremer organizes interlaboratory exercises for the French oceanographic community in the framework of its quality assurance program. In the context of using nutrient concentration in the assessment of ecological status, it is indeed important that all French laboratories set consistent and comparable analytical performances.

Eleven exercises, each with two lots of samples for the five main nutrients (ammonium, nitrite, nitrate, phosphate and silicate), were proposed between 2006 and 2015, each exercise involving about 25 independent laboratories.

Test material preparation
Test material need to be homogeneous, to be stable over relative long periods (> 6 months), to enable simple storage and shipping.

1- Filtration of depleted seawater to remove phytoplankton cells
2- Addition of high purity salts to the concentration of the samples
3- Pasteurization process 80 +/- 3°C during 2 h
4- Homogeneity test
Nanomolar variation for NO₂ and PO₄
Tens nanomolar variation for NH₄, NO₃, Si(OH)₄
5- Shipping of test material to 25 participants in France and overseas
6- Stability test (40 months)
Low concentrations: variation comparable to homogeneity test
High concentrations: 0.3 – 0.5 %
7- Statistical process of dataset to calculate the laboratories performance

Use of Certified Reference Material (CRM) to calculate the laboratories performance

The performance of individual laboratory is usually determined by the z-score value calculated as :

\[ z = \frac{x_i - X}{\sigma} \]

where \( x_i \) is the measured value, \( X \) is the assigned value, and \( \sigma \) is the standard deviation for proficiency assessment.

\( \sigma \) is set at a value required to be compliant with a performance level adapted to marine water concentrations (ex: 5 % for NO₃ and Si(OH)₄).

\( X \) can be determined by several ways as suggested by the ISO 13528 international standard:

1- Consensus value from participants: equal to the robust average of the results reported by all the participants of the proficiency test.
2- Consensus values from expert laboratories: the test material samples are analysed by a group of expert laboratories.
3- Certified reference value: when the material used in the proficiency test is a CRM.
4- Reference value: a number of the test material samples are tested along with CRMs. The assigned value is then derived from a calibration against the certified reference values of the CRMs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 - Consensus value from participants</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - Consensus value from expert laboratory</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 – Certified reference value</td>
<td>certified proficiency test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 – Reference value</td>
<td>easy to operate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion
The use of CRMs to certify the consensus value allows us to produce z-scores not biased by the performances of laboratories which use analytical methods not suited for coastal and marine waters.

This test material samples can also be regarded as a stable internal reference material for daily use.

It would be now desirable to have a CRM production for NH₄ analysis.